### Reinforcement learning Episode 3

### Approximate & deep RL







### Reality check: videogames





• Trivia: What are the states and actions?

### **Real world**



### Real world



### **Recap: Q-learning**



 $argmin_{Q}(Q(s_{t}, a_{t}) - [r_{t} + \gamma \cdot max_{a'}Q(s_{t+1}, a')])^{2}$  $\pi(s): argmax_{a}Q(s, a)$ 

#### **P**roblem:

# State space is usually large, sometimes continuous.

And so is action space;

However, states do have a structure, similar states have similar action outcomes.

### From tables to approximations

- Before:
  - For all states, for all actions, remember Q(s,a)
- Now:
  - Approximate Q(s,a) with some function
  - e.g. linear model over state features

$$argmin_{w,b}(Q(s_t, a_t) - [r_t + \gamma \cdot max_{a'}Q(s_{t+1}, a')])^2$$

Trivia: should we use linear regression or logistic regression?

### Deep learning approach: DQN







Agent

### Deep learning approach: DQN



$$L_i(\theta_i) = \mathbb{E}_{(s,a,r,s') \sim U(D)} \left[ \left( r + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s',a';\theta_i^-) - Q(s,a;\theta_i) \right)^2 \right]$$

### Deep learning approach: DQN



### Approximate Q-learning



**Q-values**:

$$\hat{Q}(s_t, a_t) = r + \gamma \cdot argmax_a' \hat{Q}(s_{t+1}, a')$$

**Objective:** 

$$L = (Q(s_t, a_t) - r + \gamma \cdot argmax_a' Q(s_{t+1}, a'))^2$$

Gradient step:

$$w_{t+1} = w_t - \alpha \cdot \frac{\delta L}{\delta w}$$



### Approximate Q-learning

- Training samples are not "i.i.d",
- Model forgets parts of environment it haven't visited for some time,
- Fallbacks on the learning curve
- Any ideas?



## Decorrelating

#### **Experience replay**

- Maintain a large pool of (s,a,r,s') tuples from prior MDP sessions.
- Sample random batch from the pool each time when training NN
  - Or use a prioritized sampling strategy to emphasize most important samples

#### **Target networks**

- Obtain "Qreference(s,a)" term from an older neural network snapshot.
  - Alternatively, maintain an exponential moving average of weights

# Multiple agent trick

**Idea:** Throw in several agents with shared **W**.

- Chances are, they will be exploring different parts of the environment,
- More stable training,
- Requires a lot of interaction,
- Alternative to experience replay.





# More decorrelating

#### **Double Q-learning**

- Maintain two Q-networks
- use one to pick best action and the other to evaluate it's Q-value

#### **Bootstrap DQN**

- Maintain several "heads", top layers of NN responsible for Qvalues prediction.
- At the beginning of new game session, choose one of the "heads" at random.
- This head decides what action to take during current session.
- All other heads are trained on that session without taking any real actions





### **P**roblem:

Most practical cases are partially observable:

Agent observation does not hold all information about process state (e.g. human field of view).

• However, we can try to infer hidden states from sequences of observations.

$$s_t \simeq m_t : P(m_t | o_t, m_{t-1})$$

• Intuitively that's agent memory state.

### Partially observable MDP



### N-gram heuristic

Idea:

 $s_t \neq o(s_t)$ 

$$s_t \approx (o(s_{t-n}), a_{t-n}, ..., o(s_{t-1}), a_{t-1}, o(s_t))$$
  
e.g. ball movement in breakout





 $\cdot$  Does ball fly up or down?

· Several frames 20



### Deep Recurrent RL



Recurrent agent memory

- $\cdot$  Agent has his own hidden state.
- $\cdot$  Trained via BPTT with a fixed depth
- Problem: next input depends on chosen action
- Even more autocorrelations :)

2500

3000





### **Deep Recurrent RL**

#### Learning curves for KungFuMaster



### Most important slide

### RL isn't magical

- It won't learn everything in the world given any data and random architecture.
- Sparse & delayed rewards still a problem
- Less playing Atari, more real world problems No, doom is not a real world problem, dummy!
- Slowly getting rid of heuristics towards mathematical soundness
- Machine Intelligence revolution date TBA

### Let's go play some atari!